UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,390	03/30/2004	Peter E. Hand	359999.35	5402
David B. Abel DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP Fourth Floor 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067			EXAMINER	
			SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/814,390	HAND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JEFFREY A. SHAPIRO	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  /s will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	lovember 2008.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-20</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-20</u> is/are rejected.	<u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · ·	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received.  es have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	ı (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al (US 6,363,164 B1) in view of Ramachandran et al (US 6,941,274 B1), and further in view of Partyka et al (US 5,941,363) and further in view of Morun (US 5,566,807).

As described in Claim 8, Jones discloses an automated teller machine (ATM) as shown in figures 1b-d, that has a processor-based controller and coin receipt and return functions. Note that Jones' ATM vends bills and coins to customers. See Jones, figure 1a and col. 6, lines 22-46, which indicates that a customer's deposit may be returned in either coins, bills or both, and that controller (10) causes the dispensing unit (22) to dispense funds to a user. Figure 1e, for example, illustrates dispenser (22), communications panel (26), image scanner (12), input receptacle (16), transport mechanism (18), and output receptacles (20a and b), all controlled by processor-based controller (10). Controller (10) also directs information from scanner (12), discriminator (14) to interface (24) which communicates further with remote accounting systems.

Jones also discloses a front-end processor (6038) in figures 1u and 1v. See col. 11, lines 35-64. Jones at col. 16, line 56-col. 17, line 30 discloses scanning a bill for various

Art Unit: 3653

image features and comparing them with stored information. See also Jones at col. 20, line 26-col. 22, line 15, noting EPROM (934), illustrated in figure 4a, and CPU 930. See also Jones at col. 22, lines 30-56 and col. 26, lines 30-56. Jones at col. 27 line 56-col. 28 line 67 and col. 29 line 33-col. 30, line 26 describes microprocessor (212) storing obtained optical image and magnetic data from bills and comparing them with stored patterns stored for example in read only memory (232). Jones also discloses an escrow holding area in col. 77, lines 51-54.

As described in Claims 9-11, Jones further discloses a display (2402) in the form of a touch screen with various currency denominations the machine control will allow to be processed displayed as keys (2406a-g). Note that said display is supported in a bezel assembly. Note that disposing the display either on or adjoining the runway surface is considered to be obvious variations of each other that one ordinarily skilled in the art would have found obvious to use in Jones apparatus. Jones discloses a display (2304) in a bezel with denomination keys (2306a-g) located on a lower area of the bezel that can be construed as a runway area in figures (49a and b) and discussed in col. 65, line 52-col. 66, line 23. See also figure 50a or 57b as well as col. 66, lines 23-45, col. 72, line 60-col. 73, line 37, and col. 80, lines 49-59.

Jones does not expressly disclose, but Ramachandran discloses placing an ATM type device in a vending machine. See Ramachandran col. 2, line 45-col. 3, line 32 and col. 8, lines 23-42.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have embodied Jones' ATM in a combination ATM/vending machine for the purpose of dispensing snacks and goods as well as handles bank transactions.

The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been increase the range of services available to customers, thereby acting as a further draw to the vending machine, and therefore increasing profits, as suggested by Ramachandran's teaching and disclosure. See Ramachandran, col. 2, line 60-col. 3, line 10. Also, one ordinarily skilled in the art would have recognized the benefit of combining an ATM and vending machine because customers obtaining money at the ATM may be more willing to make impulsive purchases, thereby resulting in increased sales of vended goods as compared to a typical free-standing vending machine.

Further, regarding the use of a vending machine controller (VMC), Applicant's "Background to the Invention" section at paragraph 5, line 1-3 mentions that "vending machines are in wide use..." Paragraph 6 of the same section mentions that MDB/ICP communication protocol allows a bill validator to communicate with a vending machine controller (VMC). Since Ramachandran provides the teaching to retrofit an ATM bill validator in a vending machine, it would therefore have been obvious for one ordinarily skilled in the art to have used such an MDB/ICP protocol to allow the various controllers and components of the vending system, including the bill and coin validators to communicate with the main controller.

Jones does not expressly disclose, but Partyka discloses that the note validator (14) controls a coin changer (12).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have controlled Jone's coin changer by input from Jones' note validator, and the note validator in turn by the VMC.

The suggestion/motivation would have been to "provide payout of coin change in response to the receiving of a proper bill." See Partyka, col. 2, lines 45-52.

Regarding the newly added claim language concerning "payment in a vending transaction", Jones does not expressly disclose, but Morun discloses that the note validator (340) and bill escrow and payout unit (115) and coin changer are operated to provide change from inserted bills or coins after a vending transaction has been processed. See figure 5, col. 1, lines 5-10, col. 3, lines 45-57, col. 4, lines 14-53, col. 4, lines 63-col. 5, lines 1-12, col. 5, lines 1-17 and col. 6, lines 17-35.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have controlled Jones' coin and bill changers by input from Jones' note validator, and the note validator in turn by the VMC, as taught by Morun, for the predictable purpose of providing change in coins or bills, as required by the particular transaction.

3. Claims 1-5, 7 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al in view of Ramachandran, further in view of Partyka et al (US 5,941,363), further in view of Morun (US 5,566,807) and still further in view of Katou et al (US 2004/0182677 A1).

Jones, Ramachandran, Partyka and Morun disclose the system described above.

Regarding Claims 12-17, see discussion regarding Claims 9-11 above.

Regarding Claims 2-4, Jones discloses that counterfeit detector (210) is controlled directly by microprocessor (212), which is considered analogous to Applicants' "unit controller", and has the "capability to maintain a running total of genuine documents" at col. 29, lines 15-20. Note Jones' ram (226) and rom (232) memory in figure 12. See also col. 30, lines 19-26 of Jones, which discusses programming microprocessor (212).

Page 6

Regarding Claim 5, note again that Jones discloses displaying information on display (2402), for example. It would have been obvious to display such information as the number of notes dispensed and the number of coins dispensed, or any accounting or other information that one ordinarily skilled in the art would have found necessary to manage and operate Jones' currency handlers.

Jones does not expressly disclose, but Katou discloses a vending machine in the form of automated teller machine (101), having a note acceptor-dispenser (1), a bill discriminator (30), a note box (60), a note hopper (40) that temporarily stores said notes, and a transportation unit (501, 502, 503, 504) in a combination such that said notes are transported to either a note box, a temporary storage or escrow box, or through the bill discriminator. See figures 6-13, 23-26, 30a-30c and 31. Also note the direction arrows of figures 6-13, 23-26, 30a-30c and 31.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have added the transport mechanism disclosed and taught by Katou illustrated in Katou's figure 6, for example, to the transport mechanism of Jones such that said notes are transported to either a note box, a temporary storage or escrow box, or through the bill discriminator as well as from input to output receptacles.

The suggestion/motivation for adding Katou's transport mechanism to Jones' would have been to prevent jamming of notes. See Katou, paragraphs 10 and 18. Further, it would have been obvious to use closed note boxes or cassettes or magazines to receive notes rather than open receptacles as Jones discloses so as to promote automation of the note handling process.

Regarding Claims 19 and 20, which require the "vending machine protocol program to accept notes only up to a first value...and a processor controlling the acceptance and recognition of notes up to a second value exceeding said first value", note that it would have been obvious to upgrade a vending machine, which accepts coins or bills up to a one value, and increase the capability of the vending machine to accept bills of a higher value by installing a bill validator having a processor controller that allows bills of a second, higher maximum value, since prices of items can be expected to rise over time, thus requiring larger denominations to be transacted during a vend.

4. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al in view of Ramachandran, further in view of Partyka, further in view of Morun, still further in view of Katou et al (US 2004/0182677 A1) and still further in view of Pope (US 2002/0195309).

Jones, Ramachandran, Partyka, Morun and Katou disclose the system described above.

Regarding Claims 19 and 20, Jones does not expressly disclose, but Pope discloses the particulars of retrofitting a validator that accepts currency at a second value which is higher than the value of a maximum first denomination value accepted/handled by the vending machine controller (VMC). See Pope at paragraphs 1-10.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have added the transport mechanism disclosed and taught by Katou illustrated in Katou's figure 6, for example, to the transport mechanism of Jones such that said notes are transported to either a note box, a temporary storage or escrow box, or through the bill discriminator as well as from input to output receptacles.

The suggestion/motivation for adding Katou's transport mechanism to Jones' would have been to prevent jamming of notes. See Katou, paragraphs 10 and 18. Further, it would have been obvious to use closed note boxes or cassettes or magazines to receive notes rather than open receptacles as Jones discloses so as to promote automation of the note handling process.

Regarding Claims 19 and 20, which require the "vending machine protocol program to accept notes only up to a first value...and a processor controlling the acceptance and recognition of notes up to a second value exceeding said first value", note that it would have been obvious to upgrade a vending machine, which accepts coins or bills up to a one value, and increase the capability of the vending machine to accept bills of a higher value by installing a bill validator having a processor controller that allows bills of a second, higher maximum value, since prices of items can be

Art Unit: 3653

expected to rise over time, thus requiring larger denominations to be transacted during a vend.

### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1-5, 7-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Regarding Applicant's assertions concerning the lack of disclosure of storing notes in a dispensable and a non-dispensable fashion, such terms as "dispensable" and "non-dispensable" are considered relative terms. Applicant asserts that Jones only stores notes in a non-dispensable fashion because all notes stored are not issued as change, but are only collected.

However, note that Katou discloses and teaches use of a temporary storage box, a deposit box, a withdrawal box, a reject box and a recycle box. These boxes are described in detail in paragraph 2 of Katou as follows.

[0002] The conventional bill deposit/withdrawal machine mounted on an automated teller machine used in, for example, a banking organ or the like includes a deposit/withdrawal port for accepting bills (or paper money) inputted by a user, delivering the bills inputted by the user, and accepting bills to be discharged to a user, a bill discriminating unit for discriminating bills, and a bill transport path for transporting bills while passing them through the bill discriminating unit. The construction of the conventional bill deposit/withdrawal machine further includes the combination of units including a temporary storage box for temporarily accepting deposited bills, a deposit box for accepting deposited bills, a withdrawal box for delivering bills for withdrawal, a recycle box for accepting and delivering bills for both deposit and withdrawal, a reject box for accepting deposited bills which are not accepted in the deposit box or the recycle box and bills which are delivered from the withdrawal box, but not withdrawn, and a load/recovery for delivering bills to be supplemented for the recycle box and accepting bills recovered from the recycle box, and so forth. Various constructions have been proposed for the construction and arrangement of those units and the route of the bill transport path for connecting those units.

Art Unit: 3653

Paragraphs 64-66 further discuss detail of the use of these boxes in Katou's apparatus.

[0064] The bill deposit/withdrawal mechanism 1 is composed of a deposit/withdrawal port 20 for which a user makes the input/takeout of bills, a bill discriminating unit 30 for discriminating bills, a temporary storage box 40 for accepting deposited bills once until the materialization of a transaction, one deposit box 60 for accepting, at the time of deposit, bills for which the materialization of a transaction is completed, one withdrawal box 70 for accepting bills for withdrawal, two recycle boxes 80 for both deposit and withdrawal, a load/recovery box 81 for accepting bills to be supplemented for the recycle boxes 80 and bills recovered from the recycle box, a bill transport path 50 for transporting bills to the deposit/withdrawal port 20, the temporary storage box 40, the deposit box 60, the withdrawal box 70, the recycle boxes 80, and the load/recovery box 11 while passing the bills through the bill discriminating unit 30, and a control unit which is not illustrated.

#### Detail Description Paragraph - DETX (8):

[0065] As shown in FIG. 4, a control unit 35 is connected to the body control unit 107 of the machine through the bus 107a. The control unit 35 performs the control of the bill deposit/withdrawal mechanism 1 in accordance with a command from the body control section 107 and the detection of the state of the bill deposit/withdrawal mechanism 1, and sends the state of the bill deposit/withdrawal mechanism 1 to the body control section 107, as required. In the bill deposit/withdrawal mechanism 1, the control unit 35 is connected to a driving motor, electromagnetic solenoid or sensor of each unit (the deposit/withdrawal port 20, the bill discriminating unit 30, the temporary storage box 40, the bill transport path 50, the deposit box 60, the withdrawal box 70, the recycle boxes 80, and the load/recovery box 81) to control the driving of actuators in accordance with transactions while monitoring the states by use of the sensors.

### Detail Description Paragraph - DETX (9):

[0066] As shown in FIG. 3, the present deposit/withdrawal machine 1 is composed of an upper transport mechanism 1a and a lower bill mechanism 1b. The upper transport mechanism 1a is composed of the deposit/withdrawal port 20, the bill discriminating unit 30, the temporary storage box 40, and the bill transport path 50. The lower bill mechanism 1b is composed of the deposit box 60, the withdrawal box 70, the recycle boxes 80, the load/recovery box 81, and an openable/closable transport path 90 provided in front of respective accepting boxes. Furthermore, the lower bill mechanism 1b is mounted within the bill box casing 106 made of a thick iron plate having a thickness of

Art Unit: 3653

approximately 50 mm. The transport path of the upper transport mechanism 1a is connected to the transport path of the lower transport mechanism 1b through a coupling transport path 501h.

As is discussed in Katou, the **recycle boxes** (80) take in bills only to be stored, **not** to be **withdrawn**. Thus these bills are construed as being stored in this box, i.e., recycle box (80) in a **non-dispensable condition**. On the contrary, Katou also discloses a **withdrawal box** (70). Such a **withdrawal box** is disclosed as storing bills for both **deposit and withdrawal**, and is thus construed as holding bills stored in a **dispensable condition**. The **temporary storage box** (40) is also likewise considered to hold bills stored in a **dispensable condition** because the bills are fed to it and are withdrawn from it to be dispensed, despite their acceptability to the machine, back to the user. Such a dispensing event can also be considered to be the same situation as if the user received the entire amount of cash back. Even if the temporary box is ignored, the withdrawal box expressly discloses storing bills for both deposit and withdrawal to make change.

Further regarding the concept of change, note that Ramachandran discloses an ATM that is retrofitted into an existing vending machine. Ramachandran also teaches that such a vending machine requires making change to a customer at col. 41, lines 25-35, reproduced as follows.

153) In some embodiments the controller may operate to provide the merchant user with messages prompting the user to indicate the number and denomination of notes they wish to receive. This may be valuable to a merchant user who requires particular denominations of notes from the machine to carry out the efficient operation of their business, such as for making change. Various approaches to presenting merchant users with withdrawal options may be provided in embodiments of the invention through programming of a controller which

controls operation of the machine.

Since such a vending machine environment requires that change be made, it would have been obvious to cause Jones' apparatus to also make change from a withdrawal box since such a withdrawal box is designed for both ingress and egress of bills. Additionally, note that it would have been obvious based upon basic principles of commerce to make change with various denominations of bills, as would be necessary to complete a purchase with a larger bill than the price of the item being vended. Depending upon the prevalence of larger bills, one ordinarily skilled in the art would have found it obvious to make change for any particular sized bill that customers would be expected to want to use to make a transaction, therefore promoting increased sales.

Finally, Regarding Claims 19 and 20, which require the "vending machine protocol program to accept notes only up to a first value limitation...and a processor controlling the acceptance and recognition of notes up to a second value exceeding said first value limitation", note that Pope teaches upgrading a vending machine, which accepts coins or bills up to a one value, and increasing the capability of the vending machine to accept bills of a higher value by installing a bill validator having a processor controller that allows bills of a second value, higher than the vending machine controller's first value limitation. See again, Pope, as cited in the rejection above, at paragraph 6, for example. Pope discloses changing the scaling factor to a new scaling factor that can be used both by the validator processor controller and the vending machine controller.

Art Unit: 3653

Since Applicant's Claims are considered to read on the prior art as described above, Claims 1-5 and 7-20 are rejected.

Regarding the newly added claim language concerning "payment in a vending transaction", Morun is used in the rejections above to provide teaching for making change during payment of a vending transaction, i.e., dispensing either bills or coins after coins or bills have been inserted into a vending machine.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEFFREY A. SHAPIRO whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3653

(571)272-6943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick H. Mackey can be reached on (571)272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeffrey A. Shapiro/ Examiner, Art Unit 3653

February 28, 2009